

PATENT APPLICATION

A CIRCUIT PATTERN INSPECTION METHOD AND APPARATUS

Inventors: Takashi Hiroi
Yokohama, Japan
Citizenship: Japan

Masahiro Watanabe
Yokohama, Japan
Citizenship: Japan

Chie Shishido
Yokohama, Japan
Citizenship: Japan

Asahiro Kuni
Tokyo, Japan
Citizenship: Japan

Maki Tanaka
Yokohama, Japan
Citizenship: Japan

Hiroshi Miyai
Yokohama, Japan
Citizenship: Japan

Yasuhiko Nara
Hitachinaka, Japan
Citizenship: Japan

Mari Nozoe
Hino, Japan
Citizenship: Japan

Assignees: **Hitachi, Ltd.**
6, Kanda Surugadai 4-chome
Chiyoda-ku, Japan
Incorporation: Japan

Entity: Large

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP
Two Embarcadero Center, 8th Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-3834
(415) 576-0200

PATENT

Attorney Docket No. 16869P-0175810

Client Ref. No. 210000889US2

5 **A CIRCUIT PATTERN INSPECTION METHOD AND APPARATUS**

CROSS-REFERENCES TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is a divisional application of and claims priority to the following prior non-provisional application:

10 U.S. Patent Application Serial No. "A Circuit Pattern Inspection Method And Apparatus," by Takashi Hiroi, et. al, filed February 22, 2001 (Attorney Docket No. 16869P-017800).

The following commonly assigned, co-pending application is incorporated by reference in their entirety:

15 U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/450856, "Inspection Method, Apparatus and System for Circuit Pattern," by Nara Yasuhiko, et. al, filed November 29, 1999.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

20 The present invention generally relates to inspection in a semiconductor manufacturing process and in particular to using an inspection system to inspect for defects in a circuit pattern on a semiconductor material. The circuit pattern may include, a Liquid Crystal Diode (LCD) display, a Thin Film Transistor (TFT) display, a memory matte, an integrated circuit, a photomask, a magnetic head, and the like. The inspection
25 system may include a Semiconductor Electron Microscope (SEM) detection system, an optical detection system, a X-ray detection system, a Focus Beam Ion detection system, a Transparent Electron Microscope (TEM) detector system, a particle detection system, and the like.

Fig. 1 shows a simplified layout of a semiconductor wafer 100 which is a
30 target of an inspection system. There are many die, for example, 110, 112, and 114 on the wafer 100. Normally each die has the same pattern on the wafer 100 for use in the same product.

Figs. 2 and 3 each show a conventional inspection system (an example is given in U.S. Patent 5,502,306, "Electron Beam Inspection System and Method," by

Meisburger, et. al., issued March 26, 1996. Another example is given in U.S. Patent No. 6,087,673, "Method for Inspecting Pattern and Apparatus Thereof," by Shishido, et. al., issued July 11, 2000) In the conventional system, a SEM Detecting Apparatus 208 is connected to an Image Processing System 228. The SEM Detecting Apparatus includes, an electron beam 210 from an electron source 212 sending electrons to a wafer 100 through an objective lens 214. The secondary electron emissions 216 from the wafer 100 are detected by a sensor 218. A beam deflector 220 causes the electron beam 210 to scan horizontally, while stage 222 movement causes a vertical scan. Thus a two dimensional (x-y) image is obtained. The resulting analog sensor signals are converted to digital data and this two-dimensional digital image is sent to Image Processing System 228 for defect detection.

In the Image Processing System 228 the first digital image is stored as a reference image. Another scan of a different portion of the wafer, produces a second digital image. This second image is the inspection image which may or may not be stored, and is compared with the reference image. As the two images are presumed to have the same pattern, a difference image is formed. The difference image is thresholded with an initial threshold, and when a defect image exists, a defect is determined to exist. Defect information such as defect position (x-y coordinates), size (area), x-projection size, and y-projection size is also generated. The defect information forms an entry in a defect list 232. The above process is repeated with the inspection image, i.e., second digital image, being stored as the reference image and overwriting the stored first digital image. A newly scanned image, i.e., third digital image, is the new inspection image and replaces the second digital image. The result of this repetitive process is a defect list 232. This defect list 232 is sent by the Image Processing System 228 to a Graphic User Interface (GUI) Console 230 for verification by the user. If the user desires to view a defect in the defect list 232, the positional information is used to re-scan the defect area and show the defect on the console 230.

The conventional Image Processing System 228 normally operates in one and only one of two detection modes at a time. One is a die to die comparison mode 234 (Fig. 2) and the other is an array comparison mode 236 (Fig. 3). The die to die comparison 234 compares one die image with the next die image, where each die belongs to the same product. Array comparison 236 compares a repeated pattern in, for example, a memory matte, on a die. Thus the conventional image processing system has a problem

in that a mixture of die to die comparison and array comparison cannot be done in one scan of the wafer.

In the conventional system determining the threshold to be used in the difference images during actual inspection of the wafer 100 is very important. Since the defect image is determined from thresholding the difference image, too low a threshold may cause many false defects. Too high a threshold may miss many actual defects. Thus setting up the threshold is an important part of the inspection process.

Fig. 4 shows a conventional threshold setup method. At step 310 the user sets a value for the initial threshold based on the user's best guess as to the maximum noise level in the images. The initial threshold is typically set low and is raised to a higher value when applying this setup method. The user also selects a small region of the wafer for trial inspection. The conventional system, using the initial threshold, determines a defect list 232, including defect information (step 320), which is sent to the Graphical User Interface (GUI) console 230 for user evaluation. At step 330, the defect information is used to re-scan the defect locations on the wafer 100 and display the defects for verification. The user then verifies whether the defects are true or false defects. At step 340, if there are too few true defects or too many false defects, the user sets a new higher threshold, and the system goes back to step 310. Typically this loop must be repeated one to three times, before a final threshold is determined. In Fig. 4 user operation is indicated by a bold box 360. Thus step 310 and 330 involve user operation 360. This threshold setup method has several problems. First it is slow and manually intensive. Since defect images are not stored, rescanning is necessary to view the defect list 232 for verification. As scanning requires wafer stage 222 movement, this process takes time. If the user determines that the threshold is too low, the user must guess at a new level. The results of the threshold modification are available only after the small region is re-scanned during a second trial inspection. The above process is repeated several times and is slow. Another problem is that the repetitive re-scanning of the wafer 100 could alter the wafer surface and hence the inspection results. Lastly, no image data is retained for use in actual inspection or follow-up analysis, thus it is difficult to improve the process.

Therefore there is a need for a defect inspection method and system that is faster and more efficient. There is also a need for maintaining defect image data for use

in, for example, trial inspection, defect analysis, actual inspection, and/or after inspection analysis.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

5 The present invention provides techniques, including a method and system, for inspecting for defects in a circuit pattern on a semi-conductor material. One specific embodiment provides a trial inspection threshold setup method, where the initial threshold is modified after a defect analysis of trial inspection stored data. The modified threshold is then used as the threshold in actual inspection.

10 One embodiment of the present invention provides a method for inspecting a specimen, for example, a circuit pattern on a semiconductor wafer. The method includes: setting a threshold value. Next, a detected image of a specimen is detected and compared to a reference image; A defect candidate is then extracted using the threshold value and an information of the defect candidate is stored to a memory. A new threshold
15 value is set and a defect is extracted from the defect candidate using the stored information and the new threshold value . The information of the defect may include at least one of the following: a defect candidate position, a defect candidate area, a defect candidate x and y projection size, a maximum difference between the detected image and the reference image, a defect texture, or a reference texture or an image of the defect
20 candidate.

 In an alternative embodiment of the present invention a method for inspecting a circuit pattern on a semiconductor material is provided. First an initial threshold is set. Next, an inspection image is detected. A defect candidate information, for example, a margin, is determined by thresholding a comparison between the
25 inspection image and a reference image, where the thresholding uses the initial threshold. A new threshold is determined using the defect candidate information and a defect in the inspection image is evaluated using said new threshold.

 Another embodiment of the present invention stores raw images received from a detector system, for example, a Semiconductor Electron Microscope (SEM)
30 detecting apparatus, which scans dies on a semi-conductor wafer. An initial threshold is set from an average of the electron beam noise. From the stored raw images, inspection images and corresponding reference images are extracted using die to die comparison and/or array comparison. A difference between an inspection image and its

corresponding reference image is thresholded, using the initial threshold, to determine if a potential or candidate defect exists in the inspection image. The defect is, at this stage a potential or candidate defect, as it later will be verified by the user to be either a true or a false defect. Clipped images of the inspection and reference images, along with defect candidate information, are stored in a computer readable medium. Next using the clipped images of the inspection and reference images, a defect distribution is obtained. Using this defect distribution a new threshold is determined. A GUI display is provided showing a two dimensional defect distribution with symbols representing the defect candidates with thresholds equal to or above the new threshold. By selecting a symbol the user can verify the defect, as a true or false defect, in an expanded view, showing, for example, the clipped inspection image associated with the symbol. After verifying a plurality of defect candidates, the user may set another threshold, and again view the results responsive to this other threshold. As the images are stored re-scanning is not necessary. The end result is a threshold that may be used for actual inspection. This threshold is obtained faster and more efficiently than the conventional method. In addition, the stored images may be used for actual inspection and after inspection analysis.

One embodiment of the present invention provides a method, using a computer, for performing defect analysis on a plurality of images from an inspection system. The method includes, storing the plurality of images in a computer readable medium; retrieving an inspection image from a first image of the stored plurality of images; retrieving a corresponding reference image from a second image of the stored plurality of images; and analyzing the inspection image and corresponding reference image to determine if a true defect exists. In addition, in some cases the first and second image may be the same image.

A second embodiment of the present invention provides a method, using a computer, for inspecting for defects in a circuit pattern, including determining if there is a defect candidate image, by thresholding a difference image, where the difference image comprises the difference between an inspection image and a corresponding reference image. And if there is a defect candidate image, storing a clipped inspection image and a corresponding clipped reference image. In addition defect candidate information, including, defect candidate positional coordinates, is stored. A margin is also determined using the clipped inspection image and the clipped reference image. Optionally,

calculations for determining a classification, a threshold for a type of defect, or an enhanced result may be done.

A third embodiment of the present invention provides an inspection system for examining a plurality of images having potential defects in a circuit pattern on a semiconductor material. The system includes, a defect image memory for storing clipped images of the plurality of images; an image analyzer, comprising a plurality of processors, coupled with the defect image memory, for analyzing the clipped images retrieved from the defect image memory; and a non-volatile storage coupled with the image analyzer for storing the clipped images and results of the analyzing.

A fourth embodiment of the present invention provides a method for detecting defects in a circuit pattern on a semiconductor material using an inspection system. First, a plurality of scanned images from a detecting apparatus are stored. Next an inspection image and a reference image are determined from the plurality of scanned images based on a selection of either die to die comparison or array comparison. And using the inspection image and the reference image, a defect candidate image is determined.

A fifth embodiment of the present invention provides an image processing system for detecting defects in a circuit pattern on a semiconductor material using images from a detecting apparatus. The image processing system includes, an image memory for storing the images; and a defect detection image processing module for detecting defect candidate information from stored images, where the stored images include an inspection image and/or a reference image.

A sixth embodiment of the present invention provides a method for determining an updated threshold for use in actual inspection of a semiconductor wafer. The method includes: setting an initial threshold; determining a plurality of difference metrics using the initial threshold; determining a difference distribution based on the plurality of difference metrics; and determining the updated threshold based on an evaluation of the difference distribution.

A seventh embodiment of the present invention provides a method of resetting a threshold using a display coupled with a computer. The method includes, displaying a first threshold value, where the first threshold value is used to select the defect candidate image indications to be shown on a defect candidate distribution screen of the display; changing the first threshold value to a second threshold value, wherein the defect

candidate image indications on the defect distribution screen change responsive to the second threshold.

A eighth embodiment of the present invention provides a method in a computer system for determining a threshold for use in actual inspection of a semiconductor material, comprising a circuit pattern. A first threshold and a second threshold are displayed. In addition a graphic representation of a defect candidate image with a margin greater than or equal to the second threshold minus the first threshold is displayed; Next, when the graphic representation of the defect candidate image is selected for expanded viewing, a clipped image associated with the graphic representation is shown; and when the defect candidate image is a false defect, and a predetermined number of allowable false defects is exceeded, a new second threshold is received from the user. The selected clipped image is selected from a group consisting of a clipped inspection image, a clipped reference image, or a clipped defect candidate image.

A ninth embodiment of the present invention provides a method in a computer system for displaying a defect candidate, where the defect candidate is stored in a memory. The method includes: displaying a two-dimensional defect candidate distribution for a threshold on a first screen, the two-dimensional defect candidate distribution including an indication of the defect candidate; and displaying on a second screen an expanded view of the defect candidate, responsive to a selection of the indication on the first screen.

A tenth embodiment of the present invention provides a distributed system for inspecting semiconductor circuit pattern defects. The system includes: an inspection apparatus for acquiring a plurality of images associated with the semiconductor circuit pattern defects and for performing defect analysis on a plurality of stored images; a server connected to the inspection apparatus via a communications network for storing the plurality of images, and for providing access to the plurality of stored images; and a client computer connected to the server and the inspection apparatus via the communications network for displaying a plurality of symbols associated with selected images of the plurality of stored images in response to selection of the selected images by the defect analysis. In an alternative embodiment the defect analysis is performed by the server instead of the inspection apparatus.

A eleventh embodiment of the present invention provides a method for determining an inspection threshold used in actual defect inspection of a semiconductor.

First, a first threshold using a defect difference distribution is calculated; next a second threshold based on said first threshold is stored in a computer readable medium and then used in actual inspection.

In another embodiment of the present invention a method for determining a selected threshold of a plurality of thresholds, where the plurality is used in actual defect inspection of a semiconductor, is provided. The method includes: determining the plurality of thresholds from a defect difference distribution; displaying to a user an indication, such as a user selectable button, for each of the plurality of thresholds; and responsive to a user selection of a selected threshold, displaying symbols of defects with differences greater than or equal to the selected threshold.

These and other embodiments of the present invention are described in more detail in conjunction with the text below and attached figures.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Fig. 1 shows a simplified layout of a semiconductor wafer which is a target of an inspection system;

Figs. 2 and 3 each show a conventional inspection system;

Fig. 4 shows a conventional threshold setup method;

Fig. 5 shows a simplified block diagram of an embodiment of an inspection system of the present invention;

Fig. 6 shows an inspection system for another embodiment of the present invention;

Fig. 7 shows an inspection system for yet another embodiment of the present invention;

Fig. 8 shows a flowchart for a threshold set up method of an embodiment of the present invention;

Fig. 9 show an expanded flowchart of the initial setup and trial inspection steps of Fig. 8 of an embodiment of the present invention;

Fig. 10 gives an example of the initial setup and trial inspection;

Fig. 11 shows an expanded view of the threshold calculation step of Fig. 8 of an embodiment of the present invention;

Fig. 12 show was an expanded view of the threshold modification and the judgment step of Fig. 8 of an embodiment of the present invention;

Fig. 13 shows an alternate embodiment of the threshold modification and judgment step of Fig. 8;

Fig. 14 gives an example of the threshold setup method of an embodiment of the present invention;

Fig. 15 is a schematic view of a GUI display used in the Threshold Modification and Re-judgement step of Fig. 8 of an embodiment of the present invention;

Fig. 16 shows a GUI of another embodiment of the present invention;

Fig. 17 shows a GUI of yet another embodiment of the present invention;

Fig. 18 shows a display of an embodiment of the present invention having defect candidate images classified according to type;

Fig. 19 shows a distributed system for an embodiment of the present invention;

Fig. 20 shows a flowchart for using a stored recipe in actual inspection of an embodiment of the present invention;

Fig. 21 shows thresholds that may be used in actual inspection 2130 of an embodiment of the present invention; and

Fig. 22 shows an example of defect difference distributions of an embodiment of the present invention.

DESCRIPTION OF THE SPECIFIC EMBODIMENTS

Fig. 5 shows a simplified block diagram of an embodiment of an inspection system of the present invention. The embodiment of the inspection system includes a Defect Detection Processing Unit 410 and a Defect Image Processing Unit 430. A SEM detection apparatus 208 is coupled with a Defect Detection Processing Unit 410. The SEM Detection Apparatus 208 is the same as in Figs. 2 and 3. The Defect Detection Processing Unit 410 includes an image memory (not shown) for storing the two dimensional (x-y) images from the SEM Detection Apparatus 208, a die to die comparison module 412 and an array comparison module 414. The images stored in image memory are raw images of the scan of the wafer 100 by the SEM Detection Apparatus 208. The image memory may include images of an entire wafer 100 or a section of the wafer. If only a section of the wafer is included, the image memory acts as a buffer or queue, inputting new raw images from the SEM Detection Apparatus 208 and outputting raw images to be processed by die to die comparison module 412 or the array

comparison module 414. Since raw images are stored, either die to die comparison or array comparison may be done with one scan. A user via a control console inputs into the Defect Detection Processing Unit 410, the wafer and die layout. The input includes, a die pitch which is used in die to die comparison and a cell pitch which is used in array comparison. The die to die comparison module 412 has function similar to the die to die comparison 234 performed in the Image Processing System 228 of Fig. 2, but in the Defect Detection Processing Unit 410, a defect candidate 416 is produced rather than an entry in a defect list 232. In addition the Defect Detection Processing Unit 410 has an array comparison module 414 for performing an array comparison like 236 in Image Processing System 228 of Fig. 3, and it also produces a defect candidate 418. A defect candidate is a potential defect, which may be a true, i.e., actual, or a false defect. On one or more of the defect candidates, the user will later verify, whether the defect candidate represents a true or false defect. When a defect candidate, for example 416 or 418, is determined to exist, clipped images, including a clipped inspection image having the defect candidate, and a corresponding clipped reference image (and optionally a clipped defect candidate image), and defect candidate information is outputted by the Defect Detection Processing Unit 410 to the Defect Image Processing Unit 430. The Defect Detection Processing Unit 410 has program code for clipping the images and for determining the defect candidate information, for example, defect candidate position, area, x & y projection sizes, and optionally a margin.

The Defect Image Processing Unit 430 includes, a Defect Image Memory 432, Multiple Processor Elements 434, a Monitor 436, and System Software 438. The Defect Image Memory 432 receives and stores the clipped images 420 and the defect candidate information from the Defect Detection Processing Unit 410. The Multiple Processor Elements 434, include one or more processors, and perform the defect analysis on the data stored in Defect Image Memory 432 using System Software 438. The defect analysis results are displayed to the user on Monitor 436.

Fig. 6 shows an inspection system for another embodiment of the present invention. The SEM Detecting Apparatus 510 has a Semiconductor Electron Microscope (SEM) and is coupled with an Image Processing System 512, which analyzes the images from the SEM. The Image Processing System 512 includes an Image memory 520, a Defect Detection Image Processing Circuit 530, a Defect Image Memory 550, and an Image Analyzer 560. The Image Processing System 512 is coupled with a Monitor 575

and a non-volatile Storage Medium 570. The Image Memory 520 and the Defect Detection Image Processing Circuit 530 perform functions the same as or similar to the Defect Detection Processing Unit 410 of Fig. 5. The Image Analyzer 560 has functions similar to the Multiple Processor Elements 434 and System Software 438 of Fig. 5.

5 The SEM Detecting Apparatus 510 scans a wafer and records the images in Image Memory 520. In order to maintain a reasonable memory size, Image Memory 520 may be a fixed size queue or buffer. A defect candidate is located as the result of a comparison check performed by a Defect Detection Image Processing Circuit 530 using a reference image and an inspection image stored in the Image Memory 520. In one
10 embodiment the reference image is subtracted from the inspection image and thresholded using an initial threshold th_0 . If there exists a binary defect image then a defect candidate exists. The defect candidate positional information 540 is calculated by Defect Detection Image Processing Circuit 530, and the Image Processing System 512 clips an image of the defect candidate out of the inspection image and clips a corresponding image out of
15 the reference image and stores the clipped images into a Defect Image Memory 550. An Image Analyzer 560 performs defect analysis on the clipped images stored in the Defect Image Memory 550. In one embodiment defect analysis includes determining a new threshold th_1 based on a difference distribution. The Image Analyzer 560 includes a multiprocessor system, having a plurality of processors, where each processor may
20 concurrently analyze a set of clipped images, for example, a clipped inspection image, and a clipped reference image (optionally, a clipped defect candidate image may also be included).

 In one embodiment the Image Analyzer 560 calculates a defect detection margin, herein also called "margin," from the clipped inspection image and the
25 corresponding clipped reference image stored in the Defect Image Memory 550. The defect detection margin is a threshold range from the initial threshold level (for example, th_0) to the maximum up to which the defect can be detected. By calculating the defect detection margin per defect candidate, inspection results can be viewed as the threshold setting changes and inspection need not be conducted again. The clipped images, defect
30 positional information, and defect detection margins are written onto the Storage Medium 570. Media such as DVD, CD, and HD may be used as the storage medium 570. The storage medium may be accessed via a communications network.

Fig. 7 shows an inspection system for yet another embodiment of the present invention. The Optical Detecting Apparatus 610 has an optical device used to inspect semiconductors and is coupled with an Image Processing System 612, which analyzes the images from the an Optical Inspection Apparatus 610. The Image Processing System 612 includes an Image Memory 620, a Defect Detection Image Processing Circuit 630, a Defect Image Memory 650, and an Image Analysis System 660. The Image Processing System 612 is coupled with a Monitor 675 and a non-volatile Storage Medium 670. In effect the Image Processing System 612 is similar to the Image Processing System 512 for the SEM Detecting Apparatus 510. Thus embodiments of the Image Processing System of the present invention may be used with other detecting systems, for example, a Focus Ion Beam System, or a Transparent Electron Microscope (TEM) system, and are not limited to the embodiments for the SEM or Optical Detecting Systems described herein.

Fig. 8 shows a flowchart for a threshold set up method of an embodiment of the present invention. At step 710, the initial threshold is set either manually by the user or automatically by the inspection system. The inspection system measures the electron beam 210 noise and uses the average electron beam noise as the initial threshold, th_0 . Next trial inspection (step 720) is performed by determining defect candidates, determining defect candidate information and images, and clipping the images. At step 730, another threshold (th_1) is determined from defect analysis. The user views the results of the defect analysis, including a thresholded defect candidate distribution, on a GUI display on a monitor. Using this display the user verifies one or more of the defect candidates and may modify the threshold th_1 (step 740) to , for example, a value th_2 . The Image Analyzer 560 calculates a new a thresholded defect candidate distribution for th_2 and displays it on the monitor. As the Defect Image Memory 520 has the necessary defect candidate images and information, the user can reset thresholds, verify defects in images, and view the results without the need to rescan the wafer. Although optional re-scan of certain areas may be provided, it is not necessary. Thus as shown by the bolded User Operation box 760 in Fig. 8, the user is only needed at step 740. Once the threshold is determined, the actual inspection (step 750) is performed. At least one step, Threshold Modification and Re-judgement (step 740) may optionally be used in the actual inspection (step 750) to modify the threshold. In another embodiment step 740 is not used and th_1 is used as the threshold for actual inspection.

In addition as the defect candidate images and information are stored on a non-volatile storage medium 570, this data may be used for after-inspection analysis. For example, the steps 710 to 740 can be repeated and analyzed to evaluate if a better threshold could have been determined. If so, then corrective measures on the process or on operator training may be instituted.

Fig. 9 shows an expanded flowchart of the initial setup (step 710) and trial inspection (step 720) steps of Fig. 8. At process 810 the initial threshold (th_0) is set either manually by the user or automatically using a standard metric for the system, for example, using electron beam noise. An inspection image 812, having a potential or candidate defect, and a reference image 814, corresponding to the inspection image 812, are subtracted 816 from each other to give a difference image 818. The initial threshold 810 is then used to threshold the difference image 818 (process 820) and to generate a binary defect candidate image 824 and defect candidate information 822, for example defect candidate position, defect candidate area, defect candidate x and y projection sizes, maximum difference between inspection and reference images, defect texture, reference texture, average difference inside a standard circle or average difference inside several selected standard circles in a pattern of repeated standard circles. In an alternative embodiment the margin may be calculated. The defect candidate information 822 is used at process 830 to clip the inspection image 812, the reference image 814, and the defect candidate image 824, resulting in a clipped reference image 832, a clipped inspection image 834, and a clipped defect candidate image 836. The clipped defect candidate image 836 is optional and is provided to assist the user in viewing the potential defect. Clipped reference image 832 and clipped inspection image 834 are used to calculate the margin in process 840. Note the margin could also have been calculated in an alternative embodiment at process 820. At process 840 optionally other calculations may be ~~gone~~, for example, classification of the defect candidates, thresholding of the defect candidates by a type of defect, or an enhanced result. For an enhanced result a predetermined normal threshold th_N is set. The normal threshold is greater than or equal to th_0 . The enhanced result for a defect candidate is the normal threshold th_N subtracted from the defect candidate's maximum threshold (i.e., the largest threshold at which a defect candidate can be detected). The enhanced result is similar to a normalized value. The results of process 840, the defect information 822, the clipped reference image 832, the clipped inspection image 834, and the clipped defect candidate image 836, are stored in a non volatile

storage media (process 842). In another embodiment only the margin is calculated in process 840 and stored in the storage medium (process 842). The other optional calculations, for example, classification of the defect candidates, thresholding of the defect candidates by a type of defect, or an enhanced result are done, using, for example, the stored data in storage medium 570, when the defect candidates are displayed, for example, in Fig. 18. In another embodiment, the only information calculated in process 840 is for example the first, second and third local maximums in the difference distribution graph. These are stored in the storage medium (process 842).

Fig. 10 gives an example of the initial setup and trial inspection. In 910 an inspection image 912 having a cross-section 914 and clipped inspection image 916 are shown. The clipped inspection image 916 may have dimensions of 128 x 128 pixels. In 910 there is a graph 920 showing signal amplitude 924 versus pixel location 922 for cross-section 914. A defect candidate 926 is shown on graph 920. In 930, a reference image 932, a cross-section 934 of the reference image 932, and a clipped reference image 936 is shown. A graph 938 shows the background noise in reference image 932 along cross-section 934. In 950, a difference image 952 having a cross-section 954 is shown. The graph 956 has as its y-axis 958 the difference in signal amplitude from graphs 920 and 938. The defect candidate signal 960 associated with the defect candidate 926 has defect signal maximum difference 962. The noise 964 results from the difference in noise from inspection image 912 and reference image 932. The initial threshold th_0 966 in one embodiment is manually or automatically set to the noise 964. The margin 968 is the difference between the defect signal maximum difference 962 and the threshold th_0 966. In an 970 a defect candidate image 972, having cross-section 974, and a clipped defect candidate image 978 are shown. The defect candidate or potential defect 980 can be readily seen. In 970 a graph 982 with a binary amplitude 984 is shown for defect candidate image cross-section 974.

Fig. 11 shows an expanded view of the threshold calculation step 730 of Fig. 8. The clipped reference image 1010 and clipped inspection image 1012 are received at process 1014. These images are retrieved from the storage medium 570 or are used directly from Fig. 9 (images 832 and 834). At process 1014, first the clipped reference image 1010 is subtracted from the clipped inspection image 1012 to obtain a difference image. Next a difference metric is obtained from the difference image, for example, the signal amplitude above threshold th_0 for a cross-section of the difference image is

calculated. In an alternative embodiment the difference metric for the difference image is the margin (process 1016). The difference metric for each defect candidate is used to determine a difference distribution over all the defect candidates (process 1018). At process 1020 a new threshold $th1$ is determined, for example, using the first local
 5 minimum in the difference distribution. In another embodiment defect density, i.e., frequency per unit area, versus difference is first plotted. Next the area from a threshold thX to infinity is calculated for each difference, i.e., threshold, value. Where there is a plateau, i.e., the area does not substantially change, the defect density is determined to be stabilized and the threshold $th1$ is set at one of the plateau values. In another embodiment
 10 a fixed defect count or a fixed defect density may be set as $th1$. In yet another embodiment, a 3 DB point above the minimum at infinity may be set as threshold $th1$ in a defect density diagram such as 1452 in Fig. 15.

Fig. 12 shows an expanded view of the threshold modification and Re-judgment step 740 of Fig. 8. At process 1110 the initial threshold $th0$ and the threshold $th1$ calculated from step 730 are displayed. Next a two dimensional defect candidate
 15 difference distribution is displayed using symbols or indications representing defect candidate images with margins greater than or equal to $(th1 - th0)$. At process 1114 a symbol representing a defect candidate is selected for expanded view. One to three images, for example the clipped reference image, the clipped inspection image, and/or the clipped defect candidate image, may be displayed in another screen. Optionally the
 20 defect area may be SEM re-scanned and/or optical rescanned, and the corresponding image(s) displayed. The expanded image(s) of the defect candidate is verified by the user to be a true or false defect (process 1116). If there are more defect candidates to check (decision 1118) then process 1114 is returned to. If there are no more defect candidates
 25 to check then at decision 1120 it is determined if there are an allowable number of false defects. If there are allowable number of false defects, then the threshold setup process of Fig. 8 is complete (process 1122) and actual inspection is performed (step 750). If there are too many false defects, then at decision 1120 a new threshold level is set for $th1$ by the user and process 1110 is repeated.

Fig. 13 shows an alternate embodiment of the Threshold Modification and Re-judgment step 740 of Fig. 8. At process 1210 thresholds $th0$ and $th1$ are displayed. At process 1212 defect candidate images with difference metric's greater than or equal to
 30 $th1$ are displayed. At process 1214 when an indication of a defect candidate image is

selected for expanded view, the associated clipped inspection image is displayed. At process 1216 the user views the clipped inspection image and verifies if the defect candidate is a true or a false defect. At decision 1218 a test is made to see if there are more defect candidates to check. If yes then the process returns to process 1214. If no
 5 then the allowable number of false defects is checked (decision 1220). If there are an allowable number of false defects then the threshold setup process is finished (process 1222). If there are too many false defects, then the threshold level th1 is set to a new value at process 1224. At process 1226 new defect candidate images are generated and the process returns to process 1210.

10 Fig. 14 gives an example of the threshold setup method of an embodiment of the present invention. The graph 1308 shows frequency 1310 versus the difference metric 1312. Graph 1308 includes a sub-graph 1322 showing a Gaussian noise distribution and sub-graph 1324 showing a defect distribution. The area 1314 under the Gaussian noise curve 1322 is a normal frequency distribution without any defects. The
 15 area 1318 under the defect distribution curve 1324 gives the frequency of defects at a specified threshold. Graph 1308 represents all the differences prior to any thresholding. Graph 1325 shows the results of the initial setup and trial inspection steps 710 and 720 of Fig. 8. The initial threshold th0 1326 is set. All differences below the threshold th0 had been removed. The normal noise above threshold 1326 includes areas 1328 and 1330.
 20 Graph 1334 shows the results of the threshold calculation step 730 of Fig. 8. The new threshold th1 1340 is set as the first minimum or valley between curve Gaussian noise curve 1322 and defect distribution curve 1324. Graph 1342 shows the result of the Threshold Modification and Re-judgment step 740 of Fig. 8. This step 740 may be optional, but, if it is included, it uses user selection from a GUI to modify the threshold
 25 from threshold th1 1340 to threshold th2 1346. For this example the optimal threshold, thresholds out areas 1328 and 1330 as they are noise and retains the defect area 1318. In this example the optimal threshold is th2.

Fig. 15 is a schematic view of a GUI display used in the Threshold Modification and Re-judgement step 740 of Fig. 8 of an embodiment of the present
 30 invention. The GUI is used for checking inspection results after trial inspection and threshold calculation of th1. On a map display area 1410 on the display, the small solid square marks, for example, 1412, 1414, 1416, and 1420, indicate the locations of detected defect candidates. When one of these marks (i.e., symbols) is selected, for example,

1420, and dragged to the expanded image display area 1430, the clipped inspection image of the defect candidate stored in the defect image memory 550 is displayed in the expanded image display area 1430. A defect category input box, not shown on figure, is also displayed on the GUI. Defect category examples are hole missing, high impedance, foreign particle, and short circuit. In another embodiment, the clipped inspection image, the clipped reference image, the clipped defect candidate image or any combination thereof, may be shown in the expanded image display area 1430. In an alternate embodiment a re-scanned SEM and/or a re-scanned optical image(s) of the defect area may be displayed. In a further embodiment, if these images are in the Image Memory 520 a re-scan may be skipped and the images recalled from memory.

Buttons 1432 and 1434 allows a choice of automatic 1432 or manual 1434 threshold re-setting. In this example, it is assumed that the Auto button 1432 is chosen. On horizontal bar 1440 there is an initial threshold of th_0 that has been preset before trial inspection and on horizontal bar 1442 there is a recommended threshold of th_1 that has been automatically calculated, for example at step 730 of Fig. 8. When the Execute button 1444 is selected, the defect candidates which have defect detection margins greater than or equal to $(th_1 - th_0)$ are shown on map display area 1410. The values of defect count 1446 and defect density 1448 are also updated accordingly. In an alternative embodiment, the th_1 threshold is applied to the difference of the clipped inspection and corresponding clipped reference images stored in defect image memory 550 for each defect candidate image. The plurality of processing elements in Image Analyzer 560 allow many of these calculations to occur in parallel. On the map display area 1410, the defect candidate marks relating to the th_1 threshold are shown, and the values of defect count 1446 and defect density 1448 are also updated accordingly. In another embodiment, the defect distribution is shown for a range of margins; for example, $th_L < \text{defect detection margin} < th_H$, where th_L , th_H are low and high thresholds, respectively.

When the Inform button 1450 is chosen, a graph 1452 showing the relation between the threshold (e.g., th_0 and th_1) and the defect density is displayed and this graph 1452 provides information that can be used for judging whether the new threshold of th_1 is proper.

If the Manual select button 1434 is chosen, the threshold th_1 may be changed by sliding the Display TH bar 1442. When the Execute button 1444 is pressed after selecting another threshold, the defect candidate marks and the values displayed in

the area 1410 and the values of defect count 1446 and defect density 1448 are updated to those in accordance with the result of inspection to which the threshold set by the slide position 1442 is applied. Buttons 1454 and 1456 allows the choice of two-value 1454 or multilevel (grayscale) 1456 for the defect candidate marks on the map display area 1410.

- 5 If multilevel 1456, is chosen, a gray scale display in which the greater the defect detection margin the darker the defect candidate mark, is presented. The multilevel display is used for reference, when the th1 threshold is manually set and shows how dark defect candidates and light defect candidates are distributed on the wafer. In another embodiment a color code, mark size, mark shape code may be used instead of the
- 10 grayscale. In yet another embodiment the greater the difference above the threshold th0 (i.e., the greater the defect detection margin) the lighter the defect candidate mark. For example, if the difference represented electrical resistance then the lighter the defect candidate mark, the lower the resistance. A very light mark may indicate a short circuit, while a very dark mark an open circuit.

- 15 Create Recipe button 1470 allows the use of a recipe or program script that sets the inspection mode in either die to die or array for various sections of the wafer 100. The Inspect button 1472 allows use of this GUI in actual inspection. And the button Check Defect allows use of this GUI in after inspection analysis.

- 20 According to this example, the user can easily view trial inspection results after threshold setting change without conducting the trial inspection again as in the conventional system, and therefore can greatly save time as compared with conducting the inspection again. In addition, this threshold setting process may be used during actual inspection to make adjustments. Thus this method is more flexible.

- 25 As the clipped images are stored in storage medium 570, it is also possible to do after inspection analysis of the defects. Thus the defect inspection process can be examined for improvements. Data is also available to assist in determining future initial threshold values. Thus efficiency may be improved.

- 30 Fig. 16 shows a GUI of another embodiment of the present invention. In this embodiment the user can select which sections of the defect distribution screen 1510 uses what threshold. For example in screen 1510, there are two concentric circle areas shown, the outer circle 1512 and the inner circle 1515. A defect candidate 1520 in outer circle 1512 may be thresholded for display at a different threshold than defect candidate

1525 in the inner circle 1515. Threshold bars, for example, 1440 and 1442 could be assigned to the outer circle 1512 and inner circle 1515, accordingly.

Fig. 17 shows a GUI of yet another embodiment of the present invention. . In this embodiment the user can select an arbitrary section 1554 (dark dotted area) of the defect distribution screen 1550 for use with one threshold, while the remainder of the screen 1552 uses another threshold. The area may be selected by use of a mouse outlining the area to be selected. A defect candidate 1560 may be thresholded for display at a different threshold than defect candidate 1565 in selected area 1554. Threshold bars, for example, 1440 and 1442 could be assigned to the selected area 1554 and the remainder 1552 accordingly.

In another embodiment of the present invention, the image analyzer 560 determines distinctive features of a defect candidate, for example, its lightness, circumference, boundary unevenness, orientation, and position on the background pattern and then uses these features to classify the type, for example, open contact hole, short-circuit, foreign particle, or thin film residue, of the defect candidate image. The present embodiment is particularly intended to enable the user to view the results of inspection per defect type and to allow the user to set individual thresholds based on defect type.

An example of how to classify defects is described below. If, for example, holes of a memory device are assumed to be inspected, an open contact hole (open circuit) tends to look darker than a normal hole and a short-circuited hole tends to look lighter than a normal hole. The image analyzer 560 determines average lightness of a defect location as a distinctive feature of the defect by using the margin. Using this feature, the image analyzer 560 classifies the defect candidate as open contact hole or short-circuit. In an alternative embodiment the image analyzer 560 determines average lightness of a defect location as a distinctive feature of the defect by using the clipped inspection image and the corresponding clipped reference image stored in the defect image memory 550. In another embodiment, there are two defect distribution display formats and the GUI has a toggle button to switch between the two formats. One format is an automatically classified defect type and the other format is a manually classified defect type.

Fig. 18 shows a display of an embodiment of the present invention having defect candidate images classified according to type. On the map display area 1610, different marks or symbols for different defect types indicate the detected defects

classified into four types: open contact hole 1620, short-circuit 1622, foreign particle 1624, and thin film residue 1626. In display area 1610 are shown examples of an open contact hole defect candidate 1632, a short-circuit defect candidate 1634, a foreign particle defect candidate 1636, and thin film residue defect candidate 1638.

5 The defect type (thresholded) button 1462 is assumed to be selected for this display. If the common to all defects button 1460 is selected then only a common defect is shown for all defect candidates and the display looks more like 1410 of Fig. 15 in format.

10 When the automatic threshold re-setting method is chosen by using the button 1432, new thresholds for all defect types are calculated and the results are shown on the horizontal bars 1630, 1632, 1634, and 1636 for the defect types 1620, 1622, 1624, and 1626 respectively. The automatic new threshold calculation method is the same as described for the previously embodiment for one type. On the other hand, if the Manual button 1434 is chosen, the desired thresholds for all defect types may be set by the user by
15 sliding the horizontal bars 1630, 1632, 1634, and 1636 for the defect types.

 A table 1640 allows the selection of a display view per defect type for displaying the defect candidate symbols on the map. That is for each defect type, a mutually exclusive choice of "off," "two-value," or "gray" may be selected. If you choose "off" for a first defect type, defect locations classified into the first defect type are not
20 displayed on the map. If you choose "two-value" for a second defect type, a two-value mark, for example, binary, is displayed for defect candidates of that type on the map. If you choose multilevel for a third type, the marks of the defect candidate for the third type, become darker or lighter, according to the defect detection margin specific to an individual defect candidate when being displayed. In another embodiment all defect
25 types may be "off," "two-valued," or "gray" together. In another embodiment, a multi level display would include a circle, if $th0 < \text{defect margin} < th1$, a triangular, if $th1 < \text{defect margin} < th2$, or a rectangular, if $th2 < \text{defect margin} < th3$.

 The present embodiment enables the user to set inspection sensitivity, according to the defect type, so that inspection of all defect types with sensitivity suitable
30 for each of the types can be conducted. This can solve the problem that inspecting defects of one type results in the detection of too many defects, because the sensitivity for detecting another defect type is too high.

Fig. 19 shows a distributed system for an embodiment of the present invention. The GUI display 1810 as shown, for example, in Fig. 15, may run on a Personal Computer (PC) 1820 as a client program. The PC 1820 is connected with a server 1830, having a DataBase (DB) 1832 via a Communications Network 1835. The Communications Network 1835 may be, for example, an intranet, Local Area Network (LAN), or the Internet. The Internet may be used if the analysis facility, having the PC 1820 and Server 1830, are located in one location, for example one country, and the manufacturing facility, having the Inspection Apparatuses 1842 and 1844, are in another location, for example another country. The DB 1832 includes the information stored in the storage medium by process 842 of Fig. 9, for example, the clipped images, margin, and the defect candidate information. The DB 1832 may serve as the storage medium 570 in Fig. 6. The Server 1830 then provides the images and data to the PC 1820, Review Apparatus 1840, Inspection Apparatus 1842, and Inspection Apparatus 1844 via Communications Network 1835. Thus defect images, information, and defect detection margins stored in a storage medium, i.e., DB 1832 can be referenced from anywhere via the Communications Network 1835.

The Image Processing System, such as 512 in Fig. 6, is in Inspection Apparatus 1842. Inspection Apparatus 1842 further includes a detecting apparatus, for example, a SEM Detecting Apparatus 510. Inspection Apparatus 1844 may have a SEM Detecting Apparatus 510 or an Optical Detecting Apparatus 610 or a combination as shown in U.S. Patent No. 6,087,673, "Method for Inspecting Pattern and Apparatus Thereof," by Shishido, et. al., issued July 11, 2000. Review Equipment 1840, which is optional, also has a detecting apparatus and in addition, a computer, to rescan a wafer off-line from the manufacturing process. Review Equipment 1840 is used to analyze previous defect candidate verification judgements and/or to classify the defects. In an alternative embodiment, the Server 1830, rather than Inspection Apparatus 1842, includes the Image Processing System, for example, 512 of Fig. 6 or Defect Image Processing Unit 430 of Fig. 5. The DB 1832 can store besides the storage medium 570 contents, also the Image Memory 520, and/or Defect Image Memory 550 data. The Server 1830 may also include Multiple Processor Elements 434.

Fig. 20 shows a flowchart for using a stored recipe in actual inspection of an embodiment of the present invention. Steps 2010 and 2020 include the steps 710 to 740 of Fig. 8. As illustrated by the example of Fig. 14, the result of threshold

modification, and re-judgement (step 740), is threshold th_2 . By selecting Create Recipe 1470 in Fig. 15, this single threshold th_2 can be stored in an inspection recipe (step 2030). Other examples of stored inspection recipes are Multi thresholds th_{21} , th_{22} , ... th_{2N} , and, automatic threshold (step 2030). Also a mixed mode of the above two or three recipe examples can be used. Using the stored recipe actual inspection is performed (step 2040) by selecting the Inspect 1472 button in Fig. 15.

Fig. 21 shows thresholds that may be used in actual inspection 2130 of an embodiment of the present invention. Referring to Fig. 21, Inspection Threshold 2110 corresponds to the bar in Inspect TH 1440 in Fig. 15 and Display Threshold 2112 corresponds to the bar in Display TH 1442 in Fig. 15. As indicated above, the results of threshold setup 2120 is an inspection threshold 2110 of th_0 2122 and a display threshold 2112 of th_2 2124.

In one embodiment of using the single threshold, th_2 , recipe, in actual inspection the threshold of the defect detection image processing circuit 530 is fixed at th_2 and no image analyzer unit 560 is used. Thus the display threshold 2112 is essentially fixed and not user modifiable. In another embodiment the threshold of the defect detection image processing circuit 530, i.e., inspection threshold 2110, is set at $(th_2 - \alpha)$ 2132, where α is an instrument constant, for example, about 3 to 6 times the standard deviation of the electron beam noise. The display threshold is then th_2 2134 and is user modifiable during actual inspection 2130.

Using the multi threshold recipe, the threshold of defect detection processing unit is $((\text{minimum } th_1, th_2, \dots, th_N) - \alpha)$ 2136 and the display threshold 2112 shown on the GUI as buttons th_{21} 2138, th_{22} 2140, or th_N 2142. In this case on actual inspection 2130, the operator can either select one of the buttons th_{21} , th_{22} , ..., th_{2N} or modify the threshold using the Display TH bar 1442 of Fig. 15, which is initially set to the selected button. Outputs of multi threshold means plural inspection results can be obtained at the same time.

Fig. 22 shows an example of defect difference distributions of an embodiment of the present invention. The axes are difference 2212, for example signal amplitude difference between the inspection and reference images, and frequency 2214. The distribution 2220 represents differences for normal background noise. The distribution curve 2222 illustrates slight defect differences, and the distribution curve 2224 illustrates large defect differences. In this embodiment the result of step 1020 of

Fig. 11 is not one threshold but many, $th11$, $th12$, or $th1N$. These thresholds are automatically calculated by step 730 of Fig. 8 and represent the local minimums of the difference distribution. During Threshold Modification and Re-judgement (step 740), these may be directly used as $th21$, $th22$, or $th2N$, respectively or user modified similar to the example shown in Fig. 14 to give $th21$, $th22$, or $th2N$. From Fig. 22 if the operator selects threshold $th21$ 2230, then slight defect differences 2222 can be detected along with many false defects (high sensitivity). If threshold $th22$ 2232 is chosen, then only defects with large differences 2224 are detected (low sensitivity). During the setup phase, slight difference detection, for example threshold $th21$, is used.

Using automatic thresholding 2146, $(th2 - \alpha)$ 2144 is used as the inspection threshold 2110 (i.e., initial setup threshold in step 710), and the same threshold calculation given in Threshold Calculation step 730 is applied to determine the threshold 2146 for actual inspection 2130.

Another embodiment of the present invention provides for a computer program product stored on a computer readable medium for inspecting a specimen. The program includes: code for setting a threshold value; code for detecting a detected image of a specimen; code for comparing the detected image to a reference image; code for extracting from the comparing, a defect candidate using the threshold value; code for storing an information of the defect candidate to a memory; code for setting a new threshold value; and code for extracting a defect from the defect candidate using the stored information and the new threshold value.

In yet another embodiment a computer program product stored on a computer readable medium for inspecting a circuit pattern on a semiconductor material is provided. The program includes: code for setting an initial threshold; code for detecting an inspection image; code for determining defect candidate information by thresholding a comparison between said inspection image and a reference image, wherein said thresholding uses said initial threshold; code for determining a new threshold using said defect candidate information; and code for evaluating a defect in said inspection image using said new threshold.

Although the above functionality has generally been described in terms of specific hardware and software, it would be recognized that the invention has a much broader range of applicability. For example, the software functionality can be further combined or even separated. Similarly, the hardware functionality can be further

combined, or even separated. The software functionality can be implemented in terms of hardware or a combination of hardware and software. Similarly, the hardware functionality can be implemented in software or a combination of hardware and software. Any number of different combinations can occur depending upon the application.

- 5 Many modifications and variations of the present invention are possible in light of the above teachings. Therefore, it is to be understood that within the scope of the appended claims, the invention may be practiced otherwise than as specifically described.

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000
1001
1002
1003
1004
1005
1006
1007
1008
1009
1010
1011
1012
1013
1014
1015
1016
1017
1018
1019
1020
1021
1022
1023
1024
1025
1026
1027
1028
1029
1030
1031
1032
1033
1034
1035
1036
1037
1038
1039
1040
1041
1042
1043
1044
1045
1046
1047
1048
1049
1050
1051
1052
1053
1054
1055
1056
1057
1058
1059
1060
1061
1062
1063
1064
1065
1066
1067
1068
1069
1070
1071
1072
1073
1074
1075
1076
1077
1078
1079
1080
1081
1082
1083
1084
1085
1086
1087
1088
1089
1090
1091
1092
1093
1094
1095
1096
1097
1098
1099
1100
1101
1102
1103
1104
1105
1106
1107
1108
1109
1110
1111
1112
1113
1114
1115
1116
1117
1118
1119
1120
1121
1122
1123
1124
1125
1126
1127
1128
1129
1130
1131
1132
1133
1134
1135
1136
1137
1138
1139
1140
1141
1142
1143
1144
1145
1146
1147
1148
1149
1150
1151
1152
1153
1154
1155
1156
1157
1158
1159
1160
1161
1162
1163
1164
1165
1166
1167
1168
1169
1170
1171
1172
1173
1174
1175
1176
1177
1178
1179
1180
1181
1182
1183
1184
1185
1186
1187
1188
1189
1190
1191
1192
1193
1194
1195
1196
1197
1198
1199
1200
1201
1202
1203
1204
1205
1206
1207
1208
1209
1210
1211
1212
1213
1214
1215
1216
1217
1218
1219
1220
1221
1222
1223
1224
1225
1226
1227
1228
1229
1230
1231
1232
1233
1234
1235
1236
1237
1238
1239
1240
1241
1242
1243
1244
1245
1246
1247
1248
1249
1250
1251
1252
1253
1254
1255
1256
1257
1258
1259
1260
1261
1262
1263
1264
1265
1266
1267
1268
1269
1270
1271
1272
1273
1274
1275
1276
1277
1278
1279
1280
1281
1282
1283
1284
1285
1286
1287
1288
1289
1290
1291
1292
1293
1294
1295
1296
1297
1298
1299
1300
1301
1302
1303
1304
1305
1306
1307
1308
1309
1310
1311
1312
1313
1314
1315
1316
1317
1318
1319
1320
1321
1322
1323
1324
1325
1326
1327
1328
1329
1330
1331
1332
1333
1334
1335
1336
1337
1338
1339
1340
1341
1342
1343
1344
1345
1346
1347
1348
1349
1350
1351
1352
1353
1354
1355
1356
1357
1358
1359
1360
1361
1362
1363
1364
1365
1366
1367
1368
1369
1370
1371
1372
1373
1374
1375
1376
1377
1378
1379
1380
1381
1382
1383
1384
1385
1386
1387
1388
1389
1390
1391
1392
1393
1394
1395
1396
1397
1398
1399
1400
1401
1402
1403
1404
1405
1406
1407
1408
1409
1410
1411
1412
1413
1414
1415
1416
1417
1418
1419
1420
1421
1422
1423
1424
1425
1426
1427
1428
1429
1430
1431
1432
1433
1434
1435
1436
1437
1438
1439
1440
1441
1442
1443
1444
1445
1446
1447
1448
1449
1450
1451
1452
1453
1454
1455
1456
1457
1458
1459
1460
1461
1462
1463
1464
1465
1466
1467
1468
1469
1470
1471
1472
1473
1474
1475
1476
1477
1478
1479
1480
1481
1482
1483
1484
1485
1486
1487
1488
1489
1490
1491
1492
1493
1494
1495
1496
1497
1498
1499
1500
1501
1502
1503
1504
1505
1506
1507
1508
1509
1510
1511
1512
1513
1514
1515
1516
1517
1518
1519
1520
1521
1522
1523
1524
1525
1526
1527
1528
1529
1530
1531
1532
1533
1534
1535
1536
1537
1538
1539
1540
1541
1542
1543
1544
1545
1546
1547
1548
1549
1550
1551
1552
1553
1554
1555
1556
1557
1558
1559
1560
1561
1562
1563
1564
1565
1566
1567
1568
1569
1570
1571
1572
1573
1574
1575
1576
1577
1578
1579
1580
1581
1582
1583
1584
1585
1586
1587
1588
1589
1590
1591
1592
1593
1594
1595
1596
1597
1598
1599
1600
1601
1602
1603
1604
1605
1606
1607
1608
1609
1610
1611
1612
1613
1614
1615
1616
1617
1618
1619
1620
1621
1622
1623
1624
1625
1626
1627
1628
1629
1630
1631
1632
1633
1634
1635
1636
1637
1638
1639
1640
1641
1642
1643
1644
1645
1646
1647
1648
1649
1650
1651
1652
1653
1654
1655
1656
1657
1658
1659
1660
1661
1662
1663
1664
1665
1666
1667
1668
1669
1670
1671
1672
1673
1674
1675
1676
1677
1678
1679
1680
1681
1682
1683
1684
1685
1686
1687
1688
1689
1690
1691
1692
1693
1694
1695
1696
1697
1698
1699
1700
1701
1702
1703
1704
1705
1706
1707
1708
1709
1710
1711
1712
1713
1714
1715
1716
1717
1718
1719
1720
1721
1722
1723
1724
1725
1726
1727
1728
1729
1730
1731
1732
1733
1734
1735
1736
1737
1738
1739
1740
1741
1742
1743
1744
1745
1746
1747
1748
1749
1750
1751
1752
1753
1754
1755
1756
1757
1758
1759
1760
1761
1762
1763
1764
1765
1766
1767
1768
1769
1770
1771
1772
1773
1774
1775
1776
1777
1778
1779
1780
1781
1782
1783
1784
1785
1786
1787
1788
1789
1790
1791
1792
1793
1794
1795
1796
1797
1798
1799
1800
1801
1802
1803
1804
1805
1806
1807
1808
1809
1810
1811
1812
1813
1814
1815
1816
1817
1818
1819
1820
1821
1822
1823
1824
1825
1826
1827
1828
1829
1830
1831
1832
1833
1834
1835
1836
1837
1838
1839
1840
1841
1842
1843
1844
1845
1846
1847
1848
1849
1850
1851
1852
1853
1854
1855
1856
1857
1858
1859
1860
1861
1862
1863
1864
1865
1866
1867
1868
1869
1870
1871
1872
1873
1874
1875
1876
1877
1878
1879
1880
1881
1882
1883
1884
1885
1886
1887
1888
1889
1890
1891
1892
1893
1894
1895
1896
1897
1898
1899
1900
1901
1902
1903
1904
1905
1906
1907
1908
1909
1910
1911
1912
1913
1914
1915
1916
1917
1918
1919
1920
1921
1922
1923
1924
1925
1926
1927
1928
1929
1930
1931
1932
1933
1934
1935
1936
1937
1938
1939
1940
1941
1942
1943
1944
1945
1946
1947
1948
1949
1950
1951
1952
1953
1954
1955
1956
1957
1958
1959
1960
1961
1962
1963
1964
1965
1966
1967
1968
1969
1970
1971
1972
1973
1974
1975
1976
1977
1978
1979
1980
1981
1982
1983
1984
1985
1986
1987
1988
1989
1990
1991
1992
1993
1994
1995
1996
1997
1998
1999
2000
2001
2002
2003
2004
2005
2006
2007
2008
2009
2010
2011
2012
2013
2014
2015
2016
2017
2018
2019
2020
2021
2022
2023
2024
2025
2026
2027
2028
2029
2030
2031
2032
2033
2034
2035
2036
2037
2038
2039
2040
2041
2042
2043
2044
2045
2046
2047
2048
2049
2050
2051
2052
2053
2054
2055
2056
2057
2058
2059
2060
2061
2062
2063
2064
2065
2066
2067
2068
2069
2070
2071
2072
2073
2074
2075
2076
2077
2078
2079
2080
2081
2082
2083
2084
2085
2086
2087
2088
2089
2090
2091
2092
2093
2094
2095
2096
2097
2098
2099
2100
2101
2102
2103
2104
2105
2106
2107
2108
2109
2110
2111
2112
2113
2114
2115
2116
2117
2118
2119
2120
2121
2122
2123
2124
2125
2126
2127
2128
2129
2130
2131
2132
2133
2134
2135
2136
2137
2138
2139
2140
2141
2142
2143
2144
2145
2146
2147
2148
2149
2150
2151
2152
2153
2154
2155
2156
2157
2158
2159
2160
2161
2162
2163
2164
2165
2166
2167
2168
2169
2170
2171
2172
2173
2174
2175
2176
2177
2178
2179
2180
2181
2182
2183
2184
2185
2186
2187
2188
2189
2190
2191
2192
2193
2194
2195
2196
2197
2198
2199
2200
2201
2202
2203
2204
2205
2206
2207
2208
2209
2210
2211
2212
2213
2